Timp Ridge Development Co. 65 North 100 East Pleasant Grove, UT 84062 801-785-8025

April 4, 2005

Public Service Commission C/O Legal Counsel for SBS Telecommunications, Inc. Fax No.: (801) 531-6093

Reference:

Docket No. 03-049-62

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is to express the dissatisfaction Timp Ridge Development Co. has with regard to the stipulation filed by Qwest and the Salt Lake Home Builder's Association (SLHBA) on March 30, 2005. The action taken by the SLHBA on this issue is **not** in the best interest of developers in the state of Utah and certainly does not represent the best interests of Timp Ridge Development Co.

While I am not a member of the SLHBA, I am outraged by their actions. The reasons for the SLHBA's entry into such an agreement, with no perceivable benefits to the SLHBA or for land developers in Utah, are beyond understanding. Please give no weight to the referenced stipulation and consider this plea to retain Option 2 when deliberating upon this issue.

Sincerely,

Carl B. Baldwin

ail B. Ball

President

p. 1



1113 East 3200 North Lehi, UT 84043 801-766-1700

Fax: 801-766-1715

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

ATTN:	Public Service Commission	
	Attention: Commissioner	
	Salt Lake City, Utah	
	C/O Jay Bodine fax 768-3082	

FROM:	Ron L. Peck. PE
PROJECT:	Utility Installation

Re: Utility Installation

COMMENTS

I just heard that the Public Service Commission is considering letting the public utilities have their complete monopoly back concerning installation of service lines. This is un-American, unproductive and will bring back the days of no service. We need competition to keep prices down and service responsive. I have owned a General Construction company for over 15 years and nothing is more flustrating then dealing with utility companies with installation monopolies. It is hard to schedule when they control all the cards and you have no options. I thought the State had made great strides in opening the service installation market to a more favorable free trade type competition. Why revert to the dark ages????? Thanks



April 4, 2005

RE: Qwest Tariff Option 2

To Whom It May Concern:

I am in great opposition to the abolishment of the Option 2 Tariff. It has be a breath of fresh air to have alternate means of getting cable on my job and placed in a timely fashion.

Prior to Option 2, I would have to sit and wait months with an open trench and no one cared. As the nation's number one homebuilder, our division and others within our company have not noticed the "Spirit of Service" that is being sold in the Qwest commercials.

Option 2 must stay.

Respectfully,

Bret Miller

V.P. Construction

D.R. Horton Salt Lake Division



Land Rock Development, L.C.

April 4, 2005

Public Service Commission C/O Legal Counsel for SBS Telecommunications, Inc. Fax No.: (801) 531-6093

Reference:

Docket No. 03-049-62

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is to express the dissatisfaction Land Rock Development, L.C. has with regard to the stipulation filed by Qwest and the Salt Lake Home Builder's Association (SLHBA) on March 30, 2005. The action taken by the SLHBA on this issue is **not** in the best interest of developers in the state of Utah and certainly does not represent the best interests of Land Rock Development, L.C.

While I am not a member of the SLHBA, I am outraged by their actions. The reasons for the SLHBA's entry into such an agreement, with no perceivable benefits to the SLHBA or for land developers in Utah, are beyond understanding. Please give no weight to the referenced stipulation and consider this plea to retain Option 2 when deliberating upon this issue.

Sincerely,

Douglas L. Weight

Manager



Public Service Commission C/O Legal Counsel for SBS Telecommunications, Inc.

Fax No.: (801) 531-6093

Reference: Docket No. 03-049-62

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is to express the dissatisfaction Rimrock Construction has with regard to the stipulation filed by Qwest and the Salt Lake Home Builder's Association (SLHBA) on March 30, 2005. The action taken by the SLHBA on this issue is **not** in the best interest of developers in the state of Utah and certainly does not represent the best interests of Rimrock Construction.

While I am not a member of the SLHBA, I am outraged by their actions. The reasons for the SLHBA's entry into such an agreement, with no perceivable benefits to the SLHBA or for land developers in Utah, are beyond understanding. Please give no weight to the referenced stipulation and consider this plea to retain Option 2 when deliberating upon this issue.

Sincerely,

Justin John, Head Estimator



April 4, 2005

Public Service Commission C/o Legal Counsel for SBS Telecommunications, Inc. Fax No: (801) 531-6093

Reference:

Docket No. 03-049-62

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is to express the dissatisfaction Hearthstone Development Inc. has regard to the stipulation filed by Qwest and the Salt Lake Home Builder's Association (SLHBA) on March 30, 2005. The action taken by the SLHBA on this issue is not in the best interest of developers in the state of Utah and certainly does not represent the best interests of Hearthstone Development Inc.

While I am not a member of the SLHBA, I am outraged by their actions. The reasons for the SLHBA's entry into such agreement, with no perceivable benefits to the SLHBA or for land developers in Utah , are beyond understanding . Please give no weight to the referenced stipulation and consider this plea to retain Option 2 when deliberating upon this issue.

Sincerely,

Jay Spencer



April 4, 2005

Public Service Commission C/O Legal Counsel for SBS Telecommunications, Inc. Fax Number: 801-531-6093

RE: Docket No. 03-049-62

Dear Commissioners:

Please accept this letter as our expression of concern and dissatisfaction at Envision Development in regards to the stipulation filed on March 30, 2005 by Qwest and the Salt Lake Home Builder's Association.

We are concerned and feel that the action taken with this stipulation would not be in our best interest as a developer in the state of Utah. We feel that there are many other developers, like us, who would also be concerned. We still wish to express our opinion to retain Option 2.

Sincerely,

L. Kay Heaps



April 5, 2005

Subject:

Quest's Option 2 Tariff

In my years of development I have had good experiences and bad experiences dealing with Qwest on our developments telephone network. I think of the times when I had to wait weeks while some overworked engineer got to my project and then when it was almost done went on vacation for a week. Even talking to the right person can often be a struggle. What a breath of fresh air to be able to deal with private contractors who will answer your phone calls and actually work around a schedule. With this added competition I have noticed that Qwest even tries harder. So what can be accomplished by limiting our options?

The home builders association maybe should leave what is well enough alone and go back to scheduling golf tournaments where they can't do any damage.

Larry Lindstrom, President

Leisure Villas

Horizon Enterprises, Inc. 435 East 125 North Providence, UT 84332 April 5, 2005

Public Service Commission
C/O Legal Counsel for SBS Telecommunications, Inc.

Fax No.: (801) 531-6093

Reference: Docket No. 03-049-62

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is to express the dissatisfaction Horizon Enterprises, Inc. has with regard to the stipulation filed by Qwest and the Salt Lake Home Builder's Association (SLHBA) on March 30, 2005. The action taken by the SLHBA on this issue is not in the best interest of developers in the state of Utah and certainly does not represent the best interest of Horizon Enterprises, Inc.

While I am not a member of the SLHBA, I am outraged by their actions. The reasons for the SLHBA's entry into such an agreement, with no perceivable benefits to the SLHBA or for land developers in Utah, are beyond understanding. Please give no weight to the referenced stipulation and consider this plea to retain Option 2 when deliberating upon this issue.

Sincerely,

William D. Bertolio

Dean D Bullu

President